

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,773	12/02/2003	Yinjun Zhu	20-526	1919
7590 11/15/2007 MANELLI DENISON & SELTER PLLC			EXAMINER	
7th Floor			NGUYEN, DAVID Q	
2000 M Street, Washington, D			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
washington, BC 20030 3307			2617	
-				
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/724,773	ZHU, YINJUN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David Q. Nguyen	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 C	October 2007.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to be the correct of the control of	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P	ate				
	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application				

Application/Control Number:

10/724,773 Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Havinis (US 6,219,557) in view of Lam et al. (US 2003/0072318).

Regarding claims 1 and 6, Havinis teaches a method and apparatus for providing a User Plane location based service to a roaming wireless device, comprising: establishing a roaming interface between a wireless device (see figs 4-5 and their descriptions; wireless phone, element 20) and a visited location service manager (see figs 4-5 and their descriptions; GMLC, element 290) via an intermediary home Location Services manager (see figs 4-5 and their descriptions; HLR, element 26) associated with said wireless device(see figs 4-5 and their descriptions); and directing IP connectivity over said roaming interface between said home LCS manager and said visited LCS manager (see col. 2, lines 3 to 4, describing a data call, which is through ab internet connection); whereby providing a message tunneling mechanism is formed to provide an uninterrupted communication path between a visited location service (V-LCS) manager system and said wireless device being located (see col. 5, lines 7-54). Havinis does not teach directing IP

10/724,773

Art Unit: 2617

connectivity over the internet capable of being transmitting through a firewall in a home wireless carrier network and through a firewall in a visited wireless carrier network. However, Lam et al teach that firewalls are well known in the art, are used as filtering devices to protect networks from unauthorized access, and may be placed in various locations within networks (see par. 24). In addition, Lam teaches that it is well known to use firewalls between home carrier networks and visited carrier networks, as shown for example in fig. 1, in which a firewall is shown in use with SGSN and a GGSN. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Havinis to include firewalls between the home and visitor carrier networks in order to protect each network from unauthorized access as taught by Lam (see par. 0024).

Regarding claim 11, Havinis teaches a method of providing a User Plane location based service to a roaming wireless device, comprising: establishing a roaming interface between a wireless device (see figs 4-5 and their descriptions; wireless phone, element 20) and a visited location service manager (see figs 4-5 and their descriptions; MLC, element 270) via an intermediary home Location Services manager (see figs 4-5 and their descriptions; GMLC, element 290) associated with said wireless device(see figs 4-5 and their descriptions); and directing IP connectivity over said roaming interface between said home LCS manager and said visited LCS manager (see col. 2, lines 3 to 4, describing a data call, which is through ab internet connection); whereby providing a message tunneling mechanism is formed between a visited location service (V-LCS) manager system and said wireless device being located (see col. 5, lines 7-54 and fig. 5). Havinis does not teach directing IP connectivity over the internet capable of being transmitting through a firewall in a home wireless carrier network and through a

10/724,773

Art Unit: 2617

known in the art, are used as filtering devices to protect networks from unauthorized access, and may be placed in various locations within networks (see par. 24). In addition, Lam teaches that it is well known to use firewalls between home carrier networks and visited carrier networks, as shown for example in fig. 1, in which a firewall is shown in use with SGSN and a GGSN.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Havinis to include firewalls between the home and visitor carrier networks in order to protect each network from unauthorized access as taught by Lam (see par. 0024).

Regarding claims 2-5, 7-10 and 12-15, Havinis in view of Lam et al teaches all the steps/elements of claims 2-5 and 7-10, including the roaming wireless device being a mobile telephone, a PDA, a wireless email device, or a wireless device including a camera (see Havinis, col. 1, lines 38-43; and Lam et al. par. 16).

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-7844. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOSEPH H. FEILD can be reached on (571)272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/724,773

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571/272-1000.

David Q Nguyen Examiner

Art Unit 2617